

Rathbone Strategic Income Portfolio

Quarterly investment update, January to end March 2021



Hot topics – ‘Top-down’ (market and macroeconomic)

Yield party. Bond markets were where the party was in the first quarter. Literally, the only legal party that we know of. The 10-year US Treasury yield continued to barrel higher in 2021, ending March at 1.74% having started the year at 0.92%. The gilt market was even more rip-roaring: UK 10-year yields leapt to 0.85%, up from 0.20% at the start of the quarter. Government bond



yields had been hammered lower over 2020, so they were due a reversal. Yet the sheer speed and aggressiveness was something to behold. Yields are rising because of steadily growing concerns about inflation and the expected recovery in GDP growth, both of which tend to push government yields higher as investors find more enticing (riskier) investments elsewhere. While we're expecting inflation to spike mid-year, we believe this is simply a short-term inevitability caused by a pandemic-induced price slump and a sudden recovery. In short, the impending bout of inflation will be akin to an elephant passing through a snake: an interesting curiosity for people to talk about, but it will sort itself out before you know it. Bond market moves have significant knock-on effects for stocks as well. Higher yields tend to hurt 'growth' companies – those which pay less in dividends and instead reinvest in their business to boost long-term value. The higher GDP growth and inflation that underpins higher yields are great for cyclical companies, however, so there has been a sizeable rotation into these types of businesses that should benefit from reopening and recovery.

Shadow finance. Almost half of global finance is now extended by non-bank institutions like money market funds, securitisation, hedge funds and specialist vehicles. That's up from 42% in 2008, just after the credit crunch went pop, and still higher from the 46% in the early 2000s when subprime mortgages were getting packaged up and sold to unsuspecting investors. The growth in non-bank finance is everywhere. It's the proliferation of private car leasing companies (one of which went bust in March) and the big brand dealerships offering in-house financing. It's the new phones that are bought on monthly payment plans (loans). It's investment funds, like New York-based Archegos Capital, leveraging up through derivative contracts to double down on stocks. It's non-bank financiers, like Greensill Capital, branching out from short-term loans to help smooth cash flow through supply chains to making highly speculative, and potentially fraudulent, long-term gambles based on hypothetical deals. (And, of course, bundling them up and selling these loans to other investors). It could be a coincidence that these blow-ups happened as yields – borrowing costs – were rising. However, the common denominator is large amounts of debt. Shaky, shaky debt. This



is why we bang on about ensuring that our investments keep debt levels manageable and steer clear of racking up debts that are more likely to go unpaid (subprime). These loans make good money when borrowing costs are falling (as they have been for years and years). But they tend to fail spectacularly when that trend reverses. Leverage is a useful tool – without it, the world would be so much poorer – but it must be used cautiously. If you're not careful it can quickly overwhelm you.

Big spender. Renewable energy has come a long way. Back in the early days, it was Farmer Giles renting a corner of his paddock to some enterprising City partnership so they could put up a wind turbine and plug it into the network. Then more sophisticated outfits – funds and the like – with bigger bags of money came calling. They started buying up large stretches of land and building veritable forests of generators. Then specialised listed companies emerged, with research and development budgets,



masses of capital and plans to up-scale significantly. Yet, in the main, the oil majors have stayed mostly on the side-lines. Sure, they've each spent hundreds of millions in the past few years buying up renewable assets, but that's only about 1% of their businesses. So, BP's stonking bid, as part of a consortium, for windfarm sites in the Irish Sea, sounds a bit like a starting shot. BP and its German partner will pay £900 million to option two sites, at a cost of £154,000/megawatt per year. That was about 15 times similar past deals and 80% higher than the next highest offers. And there are development and maintenance costs on top of that, before the royalties move to a percentage of revenue. Now, it's the first offer of seabed by the UK government in a decade and the first by competitive tender, so a bit of an uptick in price should be expected. But the eye-popping price has left the renewable specialists spluttering and laughing. They may well be right – BP could have secured those assets at a much-reduced cost. But the fact that so many hundred millions of pounds is almost de minimis to BP is the point of the story. These oil majors have budgets on a scale that most renewable specialists simply couldn't imagine. If this really is the start of a sustained move by the big boys of yesterday's energy into the green voltage of tomorrow, it will have huge impacts on the renewables marketplace.

Portfolio activity

Key purchases/additions	Key sales/trims
Clorox (new purchase)	iShares Physical Gold ETF (sale)
iShares China CNY Bond ETF (new purchase)	Johnson Controls (sale)
UBS CSI 500 Index structured product (new purchase)	Unilever (trim)
European Investment Bank 6% 2028 (addition)	Aptiv (trim)
US Treasury 5.25% 2028 (addition)	ASML (trim)

Source: Rathbones

As US Treasury yields shot higher, dragging gilts and other government bonds with them, we took the opportunity to buy **US Treasury 5.25% 2028**, **UK Treasury 5% 2025** and **European Investment Bank 6% 2028** bonds at lower prices. We had reduced our holdings of US and UK sovereign debt over the past year as yields fell to record levels (and prices rose to record levels). We still believe that they offer valuable portfolio protection – as long as you buy at a reasonable price.

With yields rising, the opportunity cost of holding gold (which pays no income) increased. We sold the entirety of our **iShares Physical Gold ETF**. As part of our hunt for alternative portfolio diversifiers we purchased the **iShares China CNY Bond ETF**. This tracks a basket of investment-grade bonds issued by the Chinese government and state-run development banks. Long-term, we believe the renminbi could become a global reserve currency; shorter-term, we think these bonds should hedge us against disappointing Chinese GDP. Lower than expected growth would mean lower inflation and the effect of both would be a drop in Chinese yields, increasing the price of the bonds.

We bought the **UBS CSI 500 Index** structured product during the quarter. This investment is an agreement with an investment bank that will give us the return of the CSI 500, a small and mid-cap Chinese A Share index. It also pays us an almost 10% income for allowing our shares to be lent out to other investors. The demand for borrowing these shares is large, as it helps stock dealers hedge their positions, yet few shareholders are willing to do so in this market because the volatility can be pretty high and local traders tend to buy and sell often. We believe this income stream more than compensates us for the risks involved.

We added California-based chemicals manufacturer **Clorox** during the quarter. Demand for its disinfectant soared about 500% during the pandemic, and we have a feeling that sales may moderate at a higher level once we're back to normal. This isn't the main reason for buying, however. Clorox is always trying to improve or launch new products. It spends more on research and development than its rivals and uses technology wherever possible to automate tasks, including simulation software which allows it to experiment less wastefully. We believe this is a well-managed business with strong brands that is focused on the future.

We sold **Johnson Controls** early in the quarter. This commercial fire safety, security and HVAC (heating and ventilation) supplier has been a good investment for us, but we felt that competition in its main markets could hot up in the post-pandemic world.

Finally, we left consumer brands business **Unilever** because we felt we could find more exciting opportunities elsewhere.

Spotlight

In this quarter, the spotlight is on our **Abbott Laboratories** and **RELX**.



Abbott Laboratories

- A global health care company focused on medical devices, diagnostics, nutrition and generic pharmaceuticals
- Medical devices make up the largest portion of sales and is well diversified across a range of conditions, with cardiovascular and diabetes being two particular areas of focus
- Abbott's Freestyle Libre 2 is a wearable device that is designed to monitor blood glucose levels for diabetes patients and remove the need for finger stick testing – the ability to track blood glucose in real time can help patients and their medical professionals better monitor and manage their condition
- The company was also heavily involved in COVID-19 testing over the last year or so with their competitively priced and rapid antigen test which produced results in 15 minutes and gave you access to a free app to display your negative test result if asked to do so
- Overall global demographics and the increasing need to manage medical conditions for lengthier periods as people live longer leaves Abbott and their innovative product suite well supported in the long-term.




RELX

- A world leader in providing information and analytics for professional and business customers
- They own highly specialised and critical information systems and journals, such as well-known medical journal The Lancet, which are vital to industry practitioners and therefore provides a combination of pricing power and protection from competitors
- Their risk and analytics business has been gradually increasing as their spend on research and development in this space has led to the innovation of new products
- M&A activity in the risk and analytics business has been focused on fraud detection to help financial institutions reduce costs - one of their products can detect if a customer has logged into online banking via that device before and highlight if it's a new device
- Overall RELX has over half of their revenues from the subscription model which can improve defensiveness, and their end markets are underpinned by increasing litigation, legislation, regulation, and, as the pandemic has highlighted, the importance of medical journals like the Lancet.



Fund performance



Price performance based upon bid to bid prior to 21 January 2019 and single price (mid) thereafter. Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance. The value of investments and the income from them may go down as well as up and you may not get back your original investment.

Our benchmarks are calculated on the rate of change of the CPI index, over different time periods (e.g. if we were calculating year to date figures in January 2020, we would look at the percentage change from December 2019 to the end of January 2020). So we take CPI to the current value, and add on 3%, prorated over a year (roughly 0.25% per month). If the CPI Index benchmark were to fall, more than the amount pro-rata, the benchmark year-to-date will be negative, even though inflation as reported by the media (calculated specifically as a 12M rate of change), remains positive.

Top performers (%)			Bottom performers (%)		
Holding	Performance	Contribution	Holding	Performance	Contribution
ASML	+23.89	+0.14	Christian Hansen	-12.35	-0.05
DCC	+21.48	+0.14	iShares Physical Gold ETF	-11.60	-0.22
Invesco US Energy Infrastructure ETF	+18.48	+0.09	Smith & Nephew	-8.50	-0.07
US Bancorp	+18.47	+0.16	UBS CSI 500 Index structured product	-7.79	-0.04
iShares S&P Small Cap 600 UCITS	+17.33	+0.15	Unilever	-6.78	-0.08

Note: Top and bottom performers are taken from the list of all holdings of 0.25% and above of the portfolio. Performance and contribution data shown above is based on unhedged GBP capital returns.

Source: Rathbones

Currency hedging continued to be a positive contributor during the quarter as we saw sterling to continue to strengthen against a broad range of currencies, with the US Dollar gaining some ground back towards the end of the period. Our hedging of euro, Swiss franc, and 70% of our US dollar exposure all contributed positively over the first quarter of the year. As the US dollar/sterling rate hit around 1.40 we took off some of the USD hedge and continue to watch this for a point at which we may further reduce if we see more sterling strength. We were hedging all of our Swiss franc back to GBP at the beginning of the quarter but as we began to approach 1.30, we took half of the hedge off.

Balance in the portfolio continue to play a pivotal role as markets rotated back and forward between "go out" names and "stay home" names on an almost daily basis. Many of our more cyclical leaning names – the oil majors, US financials such as **CME Group**, **US Bancorp** and **Northern Trust**, and more industrial names like **Assa Abloy**, rallied as bond yields rose and inflation expectations moved higher. On the other side of the portfolio we had a mix of some quality growth leaning names doing well such as **ASML** and **Alphabet**, whilst others such as **Amazon** weakened as the market moved to recalculate what they were willing to pay for these kinds of assets in the face of higher yields. Adding some quality cyclical to the funds last year has been important in enabling more balance from the return in equities, although we will still not be chasing recovery stocks into fundamentally challenged sectors such as restaurants, hospitality, and airlines – we're happy not attempting to thread the needle of timing entries and exits from lower quality businesses with structurally challenged end markets.

London Stock Exchange had a difficult quarter after they reported the level of the costs associated with the integration of their acquisition of Refinitiv. The level of the cost was a surprise to the market, but you can sometimes see the integration costs of large acquisitions like this one be sizable and the process take some time given the size and scale of what is being brought together. We still have confidence in LSE's business model and their ability to generate shareholder returns from the Refinitiv acquisition, but we need to remain watchful of how costs progress on the integration to ensure they remain sensible and there is not continuous creep.

Fund performance (continued)

Gold had a tough quarter as real rates picked up. The correlation between the two tends to be strongly negative and therefore gold continued a period of weakness after hitting the highs of around \$2,000 last year. Although we still view gold as a helpful tool in portfolios, we entirely cut our exposure as for us the risk/reward seems to be skewed to the downside for now.

Asset allocation change and strategy

There were no significant asset allocation changes during the quarter.

Asset allocation split	31.12.20	31.03.21	% Change		12 month change	
Liquidity assets/lower volatility	22.82%	23.47%	+0.65%	▲	-0.38%	▼
Equity-type risk (economically sensitive assets)	70.97%	71.05%	+0.08%	▲	+4.42%	▲
Diversifiers	6.21%	5.48%	-0.73%	▼	-4.04%	▼
	100.00%	100.00%				

Asset class split	31.12.20	31.03.21	% Change		12 month change	
Equities	55.90%	56.69%	+0.79%	▲	+3.15%	▲
Index-linked bonds	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	◀▶	0.00%	◀▶
Conventional government bonds	16.30%	16.90%	+0.60%	▲	-2.18%	▼
Corporate bonds	13.57%	14.89%	+1.32%	▲	+2.05%	▲
Emerging market debt	3.88%	3.81%	-0.07%	▼	+1.12%	▲
Private equity	0.91%	0.91%	0.00%	◀▶	+0.02%	▲
Alternative investment strategies	2.53%	2.44%	-0.09%	▼	-2.68%	▼
Property	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	◀▶	0.00%	◀▶
Commodities	2.06%	0.00%	-2.06%	▼	-3.26%	▼
Cash	4.85%	4.36%	-0.49%	▼	+1.78%	▲
	100.00%	100.00%				

Asset allocation ranges

Liquidity	Equity-type risk	Diversifiers
5% to 40%	40% to 80%	0% to 40%

Investment outlook

The US has bounded ahead into 2021. It relaxed lockdowns well ahead of most other advanced nations and has been quick to vaccinate. Business and household surveys have been shooting the lights out for months – as you would expect after a year of despair, disappointment and house arrest. Light never looks brighter than when you emerge from the tunnel. As for Europe and the UK, things aren't as rosy.

Europe has made a botch-job of its vaccination rollout, leading to yet another round of infections and lockdowns. This is disappointing, and not only for all of us Brits who were dreaming of a sneaky bit of Mediterranean sun. Brexit doesn't change the fact that our largest trading partner is the European Union; their economic troubles will spill over into our businesses too. Thankfully, the UK has been pretty good at adapting to lockdown commerce, so the latest GDP figures haven't been as bad as many thought they would be. Savings have ballooned, raising hopes of a spending extravaganza when reopening begins. Unemployment even fell back slightly to 5% (but that's probably because the number of people not looking for work is rising).

More than ever, the global economy is being driven by America and China, the two large nations that have managed to wrestle themselves out from under the burden of the pandemic. Hopefully, the UK can be added to that list soon. And we're still praying that Europe will get its act together as well. As investors, the measure to watch in coming months will be the 10-year US Treasury yield. This benchmark borrowing cost for the world will be a barometer for how investors feel about the future and the potential for inflation. Movements in that yield will also have a large impact on investment performance.

Rathbone Unit Trust Management Limited
8 Finsbury Circus, London EC2M 7AZ
Tel 020 7399 0000

Information line
020 7399 0399
rutm@rathbones.com
rathbonefunds.com

Authorised and regulated by the
Financial Conduct Authority
A member of the
Investment Association
A member of the Rathbone Group.
Registered No. 02376568

Rathbones
Look forward

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance. The value of investments and the income from them may go down as well as up and you may not get back your original investment.